

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,845	03/12/2004	Klaus Lidolt	03100199AA	5020
30743 7590 12212011 WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON & COOK, P.C. 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD			EXAMINER	
			JACKSON, BRANDON LEE	
SUITE 340 RESTON, VA	20190		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3772	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/21/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/798,845	LIDOLT ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
BRANDON JACKSON	3772

	BRANDON JACKSON	3772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Exemence of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely lifed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - IN Operator for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be placed above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHIS from the mailing date of the communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be datable, cause the application to become ARAMDONED (38 U.S.C, § 13S). - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be dead the communication. The set of the may fill find the communication.						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 N 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) An election was made by the applicant in responsive for the restriction requirement and election 4) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. onse to a restriction requirement have been incorporated into this noe except for formal matters, pro	s action. osecution as to the				
Disposition of Claims						
5 Claim(s) 1 and 3-18 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 Claim(s) 1 and 16 is/are rejected. 8 Claim(s) 3-15 and 17-18 is/are objected to. 9 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Triformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)	5) Notice of Informal Pater L Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/798,845

Art Unit: 3772

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to amendments/arguments filed 11/22/2011.

Claims 1 and 3-18 are pending in the instant application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/22/2011 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/22/2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues one would not connect the *Nijenbanning* Bowden cable with the control system of the Woo mechanism absent hindsight. However, *Nijenbanning* and *Woo* both teach controllers for locking devices, which makes them analogous pieces of earth. Therefore, it is not hindsight for the *Nijenbanning* controller to be modified with the features taught by *Woo* that are advantageous to locking device controllers.

Applicant argues the controller taught by Nijenbanning is not electromechanical, but mechanical because Nijenbanning teaches the displacement mechanism of the Application/Control Number: 10/798,845

Art Unit: 3772

locking device may be operated by the user's hand (col. 6, lines 33-35). However, when Nijenbanning teaches the locking device may be operated by hand, Nijenbanning is describing operating the controller by hand. The user maneuvers the controller (20) by hand, and the signals from the controller (20) are delivered to the locking device via the cable (21). Therefore the controller (20) must be electromechanical in order to function.

Applicant's argument that *Woo* fails to teach the signaling of the automatic detection of the locking state is persuasive. Therefore, the rejection is withdrawn. However, Applicant failed to disclose this feature in Applicant's original disclosure, therefore, it is new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant's Specification fails to disclose the signaling arrangement emits a signal, responsive to the means for automatically detecting the locking state.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,845

Art Unit: 3772

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-15 and 17-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRANDON JACKSON whose telephone number is (571)272-3414. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571)272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brandon Jackson/

Application/Control Number: 10/798,845 Page 5

Art Unit: 3772

Examiner, Art Unit 3772

/BLJ/

/Michael Brown/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3772